





 $\Gamma^{l}(\pi^{l})$  $\longrightarrow \Gamma^2(\pi^2)$  $\Gamma^{o}(2,1)$ *a*:1 *b*:2 Ŷ  $\Gamma^{o}(1,2)$  $\Gamma^{1}(\pi^{1})$  $\Gamma^{o}(1,2)$ *a*:1 b:2J J  $\Gamma^2(\pi)$  $r^{2}(\pi'^{2})$  $\Gamma^{0}(2,1)$ *a*:2 *b*:1 97 F One person involved in Many social situations Fig<sup>₄</sup>2











An extensive game is given as  $\Gamma = ((X, \prec), (\lambda, W), \{(\varphi_x, A_x)\}_{x \in X}, (\pi, N), h)$ with the following properties: K1: (Game Tree):  $(X, \prec)$  is a finite forest (a tree by K14); K11: X is a finite set of nodes, and  $\prec$  is a partial oerdering over X; K12:  $\{x \in X : x \prec y\}$  is totally ordered with  $\prec$  for all  $y \in X$ ; K13:  $X^D = \{x \in X : x \prec y \text{ for some } y \in X\}$  and  $X^E = X - X^D$ ; K14(Root):  $(X, \prec)$  has the smallest element; K2: (Information function): W is a finite set of information pieces, and  $\lambda : X \to W$  is a surjection with  $\lambda(x) \neq \lambda(x')$  for any  $x \in X^D$  and  $x' \in X^E$ ; K3: (Available action sets):  $A_x$  is a finite set of available actions for each  $x \in X$ ; K31:  $A_x = \phi$  for all  $x \in X^E$ ; K32:  $A_x = A_x$  if  $\lambda(x) = \lambda(x')$ ; K33: for any  $x \in X, \varphi_x$  is a bijection from the set of immediate successors of x to  $A_x$ ; 10

K4: (Player Assignment): N is a finite set of players, and  $\pi: W \to 2^N$ is a player assument with K41:  $|\pi(w)| = 1$  for all  $w \in \{\lambda(x) : x \in X^D\}$  and  $\pi(w) = N$  if  $w \in \{\lambda(x) : x \in X^E\}$ ; K42 : for all  $j \in N$ ,  $j \in \pi(w)$  for some  $w \in \{\lambda(x) : x \in X^D\}$ ; K5: (Payoff functin):  $h = \{h_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where  $h_i : \{\lambda(x) : x \in X^E\} \to R$  is a payoff function for player  $i \in N$ . **Possible Weakenings:** • Elimination of K14(Root); 1. K33 can be weakened into: for any x in X, 2. K33f:  $\varphi_x$  is a function from the set of immediate successors to A<sub>x</sub>: K33i:  $\varphi_x$  is an injection; K33s:  $\varphi_x$  is a surjection.

An information protocol is given as (W, A, <)(+ player assingment + payoff functions): 1): W is a finite set of information pieces; 2): A is a finite set of available actions; 3): < is a finite set of  $\bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} [(W \times A)^m \times W]$ .  $\langle \xi, w \rangle = \langle (w_1, a_1), ..., (w_m, a_m), w_{m+1} \rangle$  is a (partial) history. **Basic Axiom B1** (subsequence-closed): If  $\langle \xi, w \rangle$  is in <, then any subsequence  $\langle \eta, v \rangle$  of  $\langle \xi, w \rangle$  is also in <. **Basic Axiom B2** (Basic Extension): If  $\langle \xi, w \rangle$  and  $\langle (w, a), u \rangle$  are in <, then  $\langle \xi(w, a), v \rangle$  is in < for some  $v \in W$ .



• A sequence  $\langle \xi, w \rangle$  in < is called a position iff it is an initial sequence of some maximal sequence in < .

Axiom N1 (Root):

There is a  $w_0$  in W such every position  $\langle \xi, w \rangle$  starts with  $w_0$ .

Axiom N2 (Determination): Let  $\langle \xi, w \rangle$ ,  $\langle \eta, v \rangle$  be positions.

If  $\xi = \eta$  and  $\xi$  is nonempty, then w = v.

Axiom N3 (Independent Extension): Let  $\langle \xi, w \rangle$  be a position and let  $\langle (w, a), v \rangle$  be in < . Then  $\langle \xi, (w, a), u \rangle$  is a position for some  $u \in W$ .





The memory kit  $T_{D_i}$  is defined by  $T_{D_i} = \{m_i^o \langle \xi, w \rangle : \langle \xi, w \rangle \in D_i\}.$ Inductively Derived View An i.d.view for *i* from  $T_{D_i}$  is a personal view  $(\Pi^i, m^i)$  iff  $ID1: W^i = \{w \in W^o : w \text{ occurs in } T_{D_i}\}; W^{iD} \subseteq W^{oD} \text{ and } W^{iE} \subseteq W^{oE};$   $ID2(Actions): A^i_w \subseteq A^o_w \text{ for each } w \in W^i;$   $ID3(Feasible Sequences): \Delta T_{D_i} \subseteq \prec^i;$ Other three conditions (definitions). • In this formulation, we consider only player's own experiences, but do not think about a player's subjective thinking of other people. • What is the experiential source for other people's thinking?





## **Other Problems**

• Status of the Epistemic Logic with Shallow Depths

- Ex Ante Decision in the Derived Subjective View
- Complexity of Interpersonal Thinking;
- Complexity of Intrapersonal Thinking.
- Checking of his Subjective View with new Experiences
- 1. Doxastic Decisions;
- 2. Errors of the 1st type and 2<sup>nd</sup> type.
- Communication, Education, etc.

19

## References

- <u>M. Kaneko</u> and J. J. Kline, Inductive Game Theory: a Basic Scenario, *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 2008a, Vol. 44, 1332-1363.
- <u>M. Kaneko</u> and J. J. Kline, Information Protocols and Extensive Games in Inductive Game Theory (with J. J. Kline), to appear in *International Journal of Mathematics, Game Theory and Algebra* 17, issue 5/6, 2008b.
- <u>M. Kaneko</u> and J. J. Kline, Partial Memories, Inductive Derivations of Individual Views, and their Interactions with Behavior, to appear in *Economic Theory.* 2008.
- <u>E. Akiyama, R. Ishikawa, M. Kaneko</u>, J. J. Kline, A Simulation Study of Learning a Structure: Mike's Bike Commuting, to appear in *Economic Theory.* 2008.

20